Approved For Release 2006/12/27 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000900120005-8

Suggestion Evaluation Report Suggestion No. 76-295 Item 2 (Continuation)

It gives each employee the opportunity to carry his grievance (oral or written) up through his own chain of command, to the Director of Personnel, to the Inspector General and, if required, to the DCI. It does not provide anonymity but it is probably the Grievance Procedure that would ultimately be used if the employee did not realize the expected satisfaction from his complaint presented in a periodic submission.

Approved For Release 2006/12/27 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000900120005-8

SUGGESTION EVALUATION REPORT SUGGESTION NO. 76-295

2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION (Continued)

having employees periodically write an essay on the state of their morale and why it is low. Rather, the monitoring would have to be done with some sort of standard attitudinal survey. One suspects, at the same time, that the value of attitudinal surveys would decrease sharply if they were administered often.

4. In sum, employees with grievances have by now more than enough vehicles for having their grievances adjudicated. The new mechanism being put forward by our suggestor under the label of a management tool would not add substantially to what we have and more likely would prove disruptive of organizational efficiency and discipline.

TO: Executive Secretary Suggestion Awards Committee 76-295   Suggestion Awards Committee 16 Suggestion Awards Committee 16 Suggestion Awards Committee 16 making a final determine of the section of the	TO:				CIA-RDP82-00357F UATION REPORT	<b>.</b>		1
1. ACTION RECOMMENDED ADOPT X DECLINE OTHER (Specify):  2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION (If were space is needed, use plain paper)  1. This suggestion would essentially establish a mechanism through which employees could regularly and anonymously give top management their opinions about the effectiveness of the component for which work and about the state of their morale. On the surface, the suggestion is somewhat attractive, both because it smacks of greater employee participation in management and promises to provide some gauge as to whether morale in a given component is rising or falling.  2. A deeper examination indicates that the suggestion's positive features really do not have much substance. Participatory management comes about when a supervisor includes his employees in the total process of decision—making, save making the final decision itself. Employees can thus inhibit their bosses from making unnecessary mistakes and take some pride from the fact that they influenced a decision governing their work. Participatory management is not an employee's anonymously ratting on his boss to top management; such a mechanism would only encourage listrust between a supervisor and his minions. If an employee sees problems in his organization and has proposed solutions, it is the miployee's responsibility to bring these matters to the attention of his supervisor. If he then does not believe he has gotten a fair shake, he has the prerogatives of transferring or going to the Inspector General, mong many others.  3. There might be some merit in periodically administering surveys to determine the state of morale so that one could chart it. Monitoring norale, however could not be done as our suggestor proposes—i.e.,  (Continued on the next page)  3. TANGIBLE FIRST-YEAR SAVINGS (Machours, material, equipment, etc.)				е		SUSPE	YSE DATE	
2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION (11 more space is messed, use plain papes)  1. This suggestion would essentially establish a mechanism through which employees could regularly and anonymously give top management their opinions about the effectiveness of the component for which work and about the state of their morale. On the surface, the suggestion is somewhat attractive, both because it smacks of greater employee participation in management and promises to provide some gauge as to whether morale in a given component is rising or falling.  2. A deeper examination indicates that the suggestion's positive features really do not have much substance. Participatory management comes about when a supervisor includes his employees in the total process of decision-making, save making the final decision itself. Employees can thus inhibit their bosses from making unnecessary mistakes and take some pride from the fact that they influenced a decision governing their sork. Participatory management is not an employee's anonymously ratting on his boss to top management; such a mechanism would only encourage itstrust between a supervisor and his minions. If an employee sees problems in his organization and has proposed solutions, it is the employee's responsibility to bring these matters to the attention of his supervisor. If he then does not believe he has gotten a fair shake, he has the prerogatives of transferring or going to the Inspector General, mmong many others.  3. There might be some merit in periodically administering surveys to determine the state of morale so that one could chart it. Monitoring torale, however could not be done as our suggestor proposes—i.e.,  (Continued on the next page)  3. TANGIBLE FIRST-YEAR SAVINGS (Men-hours, material, equipment, etc.)						Committee in I	making a fir	nal deter-
1. This suggestion would essentially establish a mechanism through which employees could regularly and anonymously give top management their opinions about the effectiveness of the component for which work and about the state of their morale. On the surface, the suggestion is somewhat attractive, both because it smacks of greater employee participation in management and promises to provide some gauge as to whether morale in a given component is rising or falling.  2. A deeper examination indicates that the suggestion's positive features really do not have much substance. Participatory management comes about when a supervisor includes his employees in the total process of decision-making, save making the final decision itself. Employees can thus inhibit their bosses from making unnecessary mistakes and take some pride from the fact that they influenced a decision governing their work. Participatory management is not an employee's anonymously ratting on his boss to top management; such a mechanism would only encourage distrust between a supervisor and his minions. If an employee sees problems in his organization and has proposed solutions, it is the employee's responsibility to bring these matters to the attention of his supervisor. If he then does not believe he has gotten a fair shake, he has the prerogatives of transferring or going to the Inspector General, among many others.  3. There might be some merit in periodically administering surveys to determine the state of morale so that one could chart it. Monitoring forale, however could not be done as our suggestor proposes—i.e.,  (Continued on the next page)  3. TANGIBLE FIRST-YEAR SAVINGS (Man-hours, material, equipment, etc.)	1 . <u>AC</u>	CTION RECOMMEN	NDED ADOPT X	DECLINE	OTHER (Specify):			* *
which employees could regularly and anonymously give top management their opinions about the effectiveness of the component for which work and about the state of their morale. On the surface, the suggestion is somewhat attractive, both because it smacks of greater employee participation in management and promises to provide some gauge as to whether morale in a given component is rising or falling.  2. A deeper examination indicates that the suggestion's positive features really do not have much substance. Participatory management comes about when a supervisor includes his employees in the total process of decision-making, save making the final decision itself. Employees and thus inhibit their bosses from making unnecessary mistakes and take some pride from the fact that they influenced a decision governing their work. Participatory management is not an employee's anonymously ratting on his boss to top management; such a mechanism would only encourage distrust between a supervisor and his minions. If an employee sees problems in his organization and has proposed solutions, it is the employee's responsibility to bring these matters to the attention of his supervisor. If he then does not believe he has gotten a fair shake, he has the prerogatives of transferring or going to the Inspector General, among many others.  3. There might be some merit in periodically administering surveys to determine the state of morale so that one could chart it. Monitoring horale, however could not be done as our suggestor proposes—i.e.,  (Continued on the next page)  3. TANGIBLE FIRST-YEAR SAVINGS (Man-hours, maissiel, equipment, etc.)	2. <u>RE</u>	ASONS FOR REC	COMMENDATION (If mor	e space is nee	ded, use plain pape	z)		
comes about when a supervisor includes his employees in the total process comes about when a supervisor includes his employees in the total process of decision-making, save making the final decision itself. Employees can thus inhibit their bosses from making unnecessary mistakes and take some pride from the fact that they influenced a decision governing their work. Participatory management is not an employee's anonymously ratting on his boss to top management; such a mechanism would only encourage distrust between a supervisor and his minions. If an employee sees problems in his organization and has proposed solutions, it is the amployee's responsibility to bring these matters to the attention of his supervisor. If he then does not believe he has gotten a fair shake, he has the prerogatives of transferring or going to the Inspector General, among many others.  3. There might be some merit in periodically administering surveys to determine the state of morale so that one could chart it. Monitoring norale, however could not be done as our suggestor proposes—i.e.,  (Continued on the next page)  3. TANGIBLE FIRST-YEAR SAVINGS (Men-hours, material, equipment, etc.)  4. INTANGIBLE BENEFITS (See Auide on reverse side of third copy)  5. WHAT OTHER OFFICES, DIVISIONS, ETC. MIGHT ALSO USE THIS IDEA?	which their and ab somewh in man	employees of opinions about the state attractions agement and	could regularly a bout the effectivate of their mora ive, both becaused promises to pro	nd anonymous eness of the le. On the it smacks o vide some ga	sly give top mana e component for w surface, the sug of greater employ	gement hich work gestion is ee particip	ation	
to determine the state of morale so that one could chart it. Monitoring norale, however could not be done as our suggestor proposesi.e.,  (Continued on the next page)  3. TANGIBLE FIRST-YEAR SAVINGS (Man-hours, material, equipment. etc.)  4. INTANGIBLE BENEFITS (See guide on reverse side of third copy)  5. WHAT OTHER OFFICES, DIVISIONS, ETC. MIGHT ALSO USE THIS IDEA?	featur comes of dec can th some p work. on his distru proble	res really deabout when ision-making inhibit oride from the Participate boss to to state between ms in his ories. If he	do not have much a supervisor income, save making the their bosses from the fact that the tory management is a supervisor and present and present then does not lives of transferres	substance. ludes his en he final dec m making unr y influenced s not an emp ch a mechani his minions has proposed g these matt believe he	Participatory manployees in the trision itself. Enecessary mistaked a decision governous would only entry to the attentions gotten a fair	nagement otal proces imployees is and take rning their sly ratting courage ie sees s the tion of his shake, he		
3. TANGIBLE FIRST-YEAR SAVINGS (Man-hours, material, equipment, etc.)  4. INTANGIBLE BENEFITS (See guide on reverse side of third copy)  5. WHAT OTHER OFFICES, DIVISIONS, ETC. MIGHT ALSO USE THIS IDEA?	has th	many others	•					
4. INTANGIBLE BENEFITS (See guide on reverse side of third copy)  5. WHAT OTHER OFFICES, DIVISIONS, ETC. MIGHT ALSO USE THIS IDEA?	nas th among 3 to det	many others . There mi ermine the	ight be some meri state of morale	so that one	could chart it.	Monitoring		
5. WHAT OTHER OFFICES, DIVISIONS, ETC. MIGHT ALSO USE THIS IDEA?	nas th among 3 to det norale	many others There mi ermine the however c	ight be some meri state of morale s could not be done	so that one as our sugg	could chart it. estor proposes (Continu	Monitoring i.e.,		)
5. WHAT OTHER OFFICES, DIVISIONS, ETC. MIGHT ALSO USE THIS IDEA?	nas th nmong 3 o det norale	many others There mi ermine the however c	ight be some meri state of morale s could not be done	so that one as our sugg	could chart it. estor proposes (Continu	Monitoring i.e.,		
E Gro	nas th among 3 to det norale	many others There mi ermine the however c	ight be some meri state of morale s could not be done	so that one as our sugg	could chart it. estor proposes (Continu	Monitoring i.e.,		
E Stom	nas th nmong 3 to det norale 3. <u>TAN</u>	many others . There mi ermine the , however c	ight be some meristate of morale sould not be done	so that one as our sugg	could chart it. estor proposes (Continu equipment, etc.)	Monitoring i.e.,		
	nas th among 3 to det norale	many others . There mi ermine the , however c	ight be some meristate of morale sould not be done	so that one as our sugg	could chart it. estor proposes (Continu equipment, etc.)	Monitoring i.e.,		
	as the among 3 to det norale 3. TAN	many others . There mi ermine the , however c	ight be some meristate of morale sould not be done YEAR SAVINGS (Man-ho	so that one as our sugg	could chart it. lestor proposes (Continuequipment, etc.)	Monitoring i.e.,		
	as the mong 3 to det norale 3. TAN	many others . There mi ermine the , however c	ight be some meristate of morale sould not be done YEAR SAVINGS (Man-ho	so that one as our sugg	could chart it. lestor proposes (Continuequipment, etc.)	Monitoring i.e.,		

Approved For Release 2006/12/27 : CIA-RDP82-00357R000900120005-8

TO: Executive Secretary Suggestion Awards Comm.	ittee	suggestion no.	5	USPENSE DATI		
TRUCTIONS: Please complete this for		<u> </u>	ards Committee	in making	final deter	
ation of the merits of this suggesti	on. Retain third co	py.				
1. ACTION RECOMMENDED . ADOPT	X DECLINE	OTHER (Specify):		,	*	
2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION (I	f more space is nee	ded, use plain p	aper)			
See attached comment from	C/ISG with which	ch I concur.				
				1		
					. •	
		·				
			•			
		•		•		
			•			
	•					
	•					
		X.				
				•		
•				. •		
		•				
3. TANGIBLE FIRST-YEAR SAVINGS (MA	an-hours, material	, equipment, etc.	, .		•	
none						
		R Ablad >				
4. INTANGIBLE BENEFITS (See guide	on reverse side o	r third copy)				
none					,	
5. WHAT OTHER OFFICES, DIVISIONS,	ETC. MIGHT ALSO U	SE THIS IDEA?				
n/a						
SIGNA				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3/1/76						Î